



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty First day of March Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.4898 of 2016

F. ELIZABETH

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.2

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KODAIKANAL POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 59 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S T.LAJAPATHI ROY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.59 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police for offences under Sections 3(1), 3(2), 4(2)(c) of Immoral Traffic Prevention Act and 506(i) IPC, the petitioner is now before this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.


2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent.

3.Even according to the FIR, the petitioner is the land lady of the premises and there are no serious allegations against her.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that some of the accused have been arrested in this case.

5. Under such circumstances, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, anticipatory bail is granted, but with conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kodaikanal, Dindigul District, on executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two common sureties each for a like



sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that,

(a) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[e] The Inspector of Police is concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-

21/03/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KODAIKANAL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KODAIKANAL POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S T.LAJAPATHI ROY Advocate SR.No.15780

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.4898 of 2016

Date :21/03/2016

rr

AA/JGB-DP/AR-I/23.03.2016/2p-6c