



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Ninth day of March Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.4173 of 2016

1 VALLATHAS PANDIAN
2 APPANASAMY
3 CHINNATHURAI
4 GOPAL
5 PACHAMAL
6 SENTHUR PANDI

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 2,4,6,8,9 &10

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERUNALY POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT,
CR NO.28 OF 2016.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.T.KALAISELVI Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehended arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) I.P.C., in Crime No.28 of 2016, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Heard Mrs.T.Kalaiselvi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10 and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.

3. It is represented that this is a case and counter and the counter case has been registered in Crime No.29 of 2016 and the injured has been discharged from the Hospital.

4. Taking into consideration the nature of allegations made against the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10, this Court is of the view that this is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to them. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-cum-Principal District Munsif, Kamuthi,



Ramanathapuram District, on condition that each of the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10 shall report before the respondent Police daily at 06.30 p.m., until further orders.
- (ii) the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners / accused 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

5. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioners are complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
09/03/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE CUM PRINCIPAL DISTRICT MUNSIF,
KAMUTHI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RAMANATHAPURAM
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERUNALY POLICE STATION, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.T.KALAISELVI Advocate SR.No.13593

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.4173 of 2016

Date :09/03/2016