



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fourth day of March Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.3891 of 2016

1 R. RAMACHANDRAN
2 R.CHELLAMUTHU
3 KATHIRAVAN
4 PRIYA

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED
RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs.

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
S.V. MANGALAM POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT,
CR NO.16 OF 2016.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S.K.MAHARAJAN, Advocate
For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehended arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) I.P.C., in Crime No.16 of 2016, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Heard Mr.K.Maharajan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.

3. It is represented that this is a case and counter and the counter case has been registered in Crime No.17 of 2016 and the injured has been discharged from the hospital, after taking treatment.

4. Taking into consideration the above facts, this Court is of the view that this is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

(1) the petitioners 1 and 3 shall report before the respondent Police daily at 06.30 p.m., until



WEB COPY

further orders and the petitioners 2 and 4 shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

(ii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

5. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioners are complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
04/03/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THIRUPPATHUR.

2 -DO- THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
S.V. MANGALAM POLICE STATION, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S K.MAHARAJAN Advocate SR.No.12392

akm/09.03.2016/2p-6c/AAL/MPA/AR-I

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3891 of 2016
Date :04/03/2016