



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Sixth day of February Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.3487 of 2016

WEB COPY

1 ANANDA KUMAR
2 CHINNAPANDI
3 SUKUMAR

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 2 to 4

Vs.

STATE REP.BY
THE SUB INSEPCTOR OF POLICE
VIRUVEEDU POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT,
CR NO.20 OF 2016.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S.R.SURESH KUMAR Advocate
For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.20 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police for offences under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC, the petitioners are now before this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.


2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent.

3.It is seen that there is a matrimonial dispute and the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

4.Taking into consideration the nature of the allegation against these petitioners and the fact that injured has been discharged, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, anticipatory bail is granted, but with conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai, on executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that,

(a) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.



[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

26/02/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NILAKOTTAI.
- 2 -DO- THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE SUB INSEPCTOR OF POLICE
VIRUVEEDU POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.R.SURESH KUMAR Advocate SR.No.11323

akm/04.03.2016/2p-6c/MP/AN/SAR-I

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.3487 of 2016

Date :26/02/2016