



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Ninth day of December Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R.MAHADEVAN

CRL OP(MD) No.24172 of 2016

1 SATHEESH MOHAN,
2 AMARAVATHI,
3 SUBHA NANDHINI,

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 1 TO 3

Vs

STATE REP BY:: THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, KUZHITHURAI, KK DT. CR.NO.30 OF 2016
... RESPONDENTS / COMPLAINANTS

For Petitioner : M/S.P.M.VISHNU VARTHANAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. P KANDASAMY, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who are arrayed as A-1 to A-3, in Crime No.30 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 416, 417, 294(b), 498(A), 506(ii) of I.P.C and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act & 3 (a) & (b) of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act and Sections 3, 4, 6 of Dowry Prohibition Act and Section 34 of I.P.C and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) for the respondent/State.

3.The learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent on instructions submitted that this is a case of matrimonial dispute and the first petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant and others are the in-laws of the defacto complainant and the allegation is that the petitioners demanded dowry from the defacto complainant and harassed her.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also <https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> considering the fact that this is a case of matrimonial dispute, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain



conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Padmanabhapuram and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

6.The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
29/12/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AWPS., KUZHITHURAI, KK DT. CR.NO.30 OF 2016

2 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
PADMANABHAPURAM

3 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
KANYAKUMARI AT NAGERCOIL

4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S.P.M.VISHNU VARTHANAN Advocate SR.No.83677

RL PV S A R 2
30 12 2016
2P 6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.24172 of 2016
Date :29/12/2016