



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.03.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

Crl.O.P.No.2374 of 2016

R.Lakshmi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Kanyakumari District

2. The Inspector of Police,
Thuckalay Police Station,
Thuckalay, Kanyakumari District

3. Justin Jacobraj

.. Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure praying to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to give necessary police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and his family members on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 23.01.2016.

For Petitioner :: Mr.G.Ramanathan

For Respondent :: Mrs.S.Prabha for R1 and R2
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
Mr.Dennis Joe for R3

ORDER


The petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to give necessary police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and his family members on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 23.01.2016.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the third respondent and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondents 1 and 2.

3.It is seen that there is a dispute pending among the family members of the petitioner with regard to the partition of the property in connection with which a suit for partition is pending and the same is now pending as second appeal in SA No.2069 of 2002 before this Court. While so, it is alleged that the 3rd respondent has caused extensive damage to the house of the petitioner.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.The learned counsel for the 3rd respondent submitted that the said house belongs to him, which is strongly refuted by the learned



counsel for the petitioner. The third respondent was not able to produce any document to show that he has the authority to demolish a house and any permission from panchayat or municipality.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the police have registered a case in Crime No.192/2016 against the 3rd respondent under Sections 147, 148, 448, 427, 342 and 506(ii) IPC.

6. In view of the above, the respondent police is directed to provide sufficient police protection to the petitioner against any harassment by the 3rd respondent.

With the above direction, this petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (W)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Kanyakumari District
2. The Inspector of Police,
Thuckalay Police Station,
Thuckalay, Kanyakumari District
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+ 1 CC TO M/S.G.RAMANATHAN, ADVOCATE IN SR NO. 14313/16
+ 1 CC TO MR.M.DENNIS JOE, ADVOCATE IN SR NO. 14371

RR
TE/JGB-DP/ : 24/03/2016 : 2P/6C

Crl. O.P. (MD) No.2374 of 2016
14.03.2016