



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Eighth day of November Two Thousand Sixteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.22507 of 2016

WEB COPY

1 DHANAPAL
2 AMSAVALLI
3 PALSAMY

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 TO 3

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
R.S.MANGALAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

IN CRIME NO.189 OF 2016 ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.AYYANAR PREM KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 294 (b), 342, 324 & 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.189 of 2016 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute, the petitioners along with other accused attacked the de facto complainant with knife and thereby caused injury.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that injured person has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their



appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)** .

sd/-
28/11/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVADANAI, RAMANATHAPURAM DIST.

2 -DO THROUGH-
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
R.S.MANGALAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.S.AYYANAR PREM KUMAR Advocate SR.No.73340

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.22507 of 2016
Date :28/11/2016