



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Eighth day of November Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.22506 of 2016

DHULASI AMMAL @ AMBIKA

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY ,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THIRUVENGADAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.113 OF 2015

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.RAMASAMY Advocate

For Respondent : MRS.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 419 and 420 IPC in Crime No.113 of 2016 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant preferred a complaint stating that the petitioner and her husband created a forged Pension Pass Book and Ex Service Canteen Card.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the petitioner and the de facto complainant are sisters and the petitioner has not received any amount. She further submitted that investigation is pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that the nature of allegation leveled against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made



ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police once in a week i.e., Sunday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)**.

sd/-

28/11/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SANKARANKOVIL, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THIRUVENGADAM POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECTUOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.S.RAMASAMY Advocate SR.No.73382

NBJ

CSL/PV/SAR-III/15.12.2016: 2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.22506 of 2016

Date :28/11/2016