



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Monday, the Twenty Eighth day of November Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

**The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN**

CRL OP(MD) No.22476 of 2016

1 CHELLATHAL  
2 K.NATRAJ  
3 N.VENNILA

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED  
RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
AYYAKKUDI POLICE STATION,  
DINDIGUL DISTRICT  
IN CRIME NO.324 OF 2016.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.D.SELVARAJ, Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

**ORDER :** The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 & 506(i) IPC in Crime No.324 of 2016 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to some civil dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the de facto complainant and that the petitioners caused injury.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that it is a case of case in counter and the petitioners caused simple injury on the de facto complainant.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that it is a case of case in counter and the petitioners caused simple injury, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Palani, Dindigul District**, on condition that the



petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)**.

sd/-  
28/11/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PALANI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 2 -DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.
- 3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, AYYAKKUDI POLICE STATION,  
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.D.SELVARAJ, Advocate SR.No.73392.

ORDER IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.22476 of 2016  
Date :28/11/2016

msm/gsv-pm/sar3/01.12.16/p2/6c