



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the First day of December Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.22298 of 2016

K.RAMAKRISHNAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.1

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELAVALAVU POLICE STATION,
MELAVALAVU,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
CRIME No.311 of 2016

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONER : M/S.S.KARTHICK SUBRAMANIAN, ADVOCATE
FOR RESPONDENT : Mrs.S.PRABHA, GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL. SIDE)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 341 and 307 IPC in Crime No.311 of 2016 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant lodged the present complaint stating that on the instigation of the petitioner, three accused persons way laid the de facto complainant and attacked him with aruval and also threatened him with dire consequences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that injured person has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the



petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)**.

sd/-
01/12/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MELUR, MADURAI DISTRICT.
2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELAVALAVU POLICE STATION,
MELAVALAVU, MADURAI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.S.KARTHICK SUBRAMANIAN Advocate SR.No. 75016

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22298 of 2016
Date :01/12/2016