



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Seventeenth day of November Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.21857 of 2016

1 PICHAIMANI,
2 P.SELVAM,
3 KALAISELVI,
4 SARASAMMAL,
5 GANESAN,
6 KALIRAJ

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALANGULAM POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
(IN CRIME NO.205/2016)

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.V.MAHARAJAN Advocate
For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.205 of 2016 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners along with other accused attacked the de facto complainant with wooden log and thereby caused injury to him.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that it is a case of case in counter and injured person has been discharged from the hospital.



5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that injured person has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)** .

sd/-

17/11/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, SATTUR
- 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILIIPUTHUR
- 3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI
- 4.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALANGULAM POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- +1. CC to M/S.V.MAHARAJAN Advocate SR.No.69932
RL/6C/2P/KP/SAR3/24.11.2016

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.21857 of 2016

Date :17/11/2016