



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Eleventh day of February Two Thousand Sixteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.2160 of 2016

WEB COPY

1 ARUPPUTHASAMY
2 THAINESRAJ
3 CHINNAPPAN
4 DAWEETHU

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO.1 TO 4

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY THE
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VALLAM POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S P.SESUBALAN RAJA Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Govt. Advocate


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehended arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 506(ii) I.P.C., r/w Section 3(1) of PPDL Act in S.C.No.275 of 2013 on the file of Principal District Court, Thanjavur.

2. Heard Mr.P.Sesubalan Raja, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4 and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate appearing for the State.

3. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that this is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned I Additional Sub Court, Thanjavur, on condition that each of the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judge concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4 shall report before the respondent Police daily at 06.30 p.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.



(ii) the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners / Accused 1 to 4 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

5. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioners are complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-

11/02/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE I ADDITIONAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE, THANJAVUR.

2 DO-THRO' THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE,
THANJAVUR.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VALLAM POLICE STATION, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S P.SESUBALAN RAJA Advocate SR.No.8195

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2160 of 2016

Date :11/02/2016

PA/NGM-SS/SAR I/12.02.2016/2P/6C