



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fifth day of February Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP (MD) No.2136 of 2016

THIRUMURGAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.4

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PARAMAKUDI TALUK POLICE STATION,
PARAMAKUDI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
CR NO.140 OF 2015.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.PASUMALAI Advocate
For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.11.2015, for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 120(b), 109, 341, 201 and 302 I.P.C., r/w Section 3 T.N.P.P.D.L.Act, in Crime No.140 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. Heard Mr.S.Pasumalai, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner / 4th Accused and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.

3. Taking into consideration the fact that the co-accused / 8th Accused has been enlarged on bail, this Court is of the view that this a fit case to grant bail to the petitioner / 4th Accused. Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail, subject to the following conditions:

(i) the petitioner / 4th Accused shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.

(ii) the petitioner / 4th Accused shall report before the respondent police daily at 06.30 p.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
(iii) the petitioner / 4th Accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY

- (iv) the petitioner / 4th Accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner / 4th Accused in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner / 4th Accused released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

6. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-

05/02/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PARAMAKUDI.

2 -DO- THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RAMANATHAPURAM.

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PARAMADUDI TALUK POLICE STATION,
PARAMAKUDI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

5 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.PASUMALAI Advocate SR.No.7305

akm/05.02.2016/2p-7c/NGM/SS/SAR-I

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2136 of 2016

Date :05/02/2016