



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fifth day of February Two Thousand Sixteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD) No.2130 of 2016

1 H. BALAN

2 T. MADHANKUMAR

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

TALUK POLICE STATION,

DINDIGUL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

(IN CRIME NO. NOT KNOWN OF 2016)... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S L.GEORGE PAUL ANTO Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehended arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) I.P.C., in Crime No.57 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Heard Mr.L.George Paul Anto, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3 and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.

3. Taking into consideration the nature of allegations made against the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3, this Court is of the view that this a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul, on condition that each of the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3 shall report before the respondent Police daily at 06.30 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

- (ii) the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3 shall not



tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners / Accused 1 and 3 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

4. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioners are complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
05/02/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, DINDIGUL
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
TALUK POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S L.GEORGE PAUL ANTO Advocate SR.No.7504

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2130 of 2016
Date :05/02/2016

AA/SKS-RR/AR-II/11.02.2016/2p-6c