



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Seventh day of November Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.21091 of 2016

1 PASUPATHY
2 THANGAPANDI

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1 & 2

Vs

STATE REP.BY ,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
OTHAKADAI POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT,
CRIME NO. 585/2016.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.V.KANNAN Advocate

For Respondent : MRS.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., in Crime No.585 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent person and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.10.2016, in the Deepavali festival, there was a wordy quarrel arose between both parties and both parties attacked themselves with wooden stick and aruval.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case against the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, on condition that the petitioners shall



execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

07/11/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MELUR.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
OTHAKADAI POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECTUOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.V.KANNAN Advocate SR.No.66544

AKV

CSL/PV/SAR-I/14.11.2016: 2P/6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21091 of 2016
Date :07/11/2016