



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fourth day of November Two Thousand Sixteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.21023 of 2016

SUBBAIAH

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NANGUNERI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.245 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.D.VENKATESH, Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 427, 323, 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.245 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that due to family dispute, the petitioner said to have attacked the de-facto complainant and the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.



[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

04/11/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.3)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NANGUNERI.

2 -DO THROUGH-
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NANGUNERI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT

4 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.D.VENKATESH Advocate SR.No.66706

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.21023 of 2016

Date :04/11/2016

msm/ss3/sar1/08.11.16/p2/6c