



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Nineteenth day of October Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.19576 of 2016

1 SINGARAVELAN,
2 KANNAN,

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO.1 & 2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NATHAM POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.393/2016

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.S.T.RAVANAN ALIAS RAMASU Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.K.V.RAJARAJAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.393 of 2016 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.


2.Heard the learned counsel on either side.

3.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners attacked the de facto complainant with spade and threatened him with dire consequences.

4.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that co accused has been granted anticipatory bail.

6.Taking note of the fact that co-accused was enlarged on bail and also considering facts and circumstances of case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the



petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Natham, Dindigul District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10:30 am until further orders.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial. [d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

19/10/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NATHAM,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 DO THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NATHAM POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.R.S.T.RAVANAN ALIAS RAMASU Advocate SR.No.62757

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.19576 of 2016

Date :19/10/2016

AM/CK/SAR-I/25.10.2016/2P/6C