



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixteenth day of November Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.18621 of 2016

1 K.SHANMUGAVEL

2 K.SARAVANAKUMAR

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED No.3 & 6

Vs

The State rep.by

INSPECTOR OF POLICE,

ECONOMIC OFFENCES WING NO.II, MADURAI DISTRICT,

(CRIME NO.5 OF 2014)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.F.DEEPAK Advocate

For Respondent : Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the conditions imposed by this court in the interim anticipatory bail order has not been complied with.

That apart, it is the case of the prosecution that the matter pertains to offence under TamilNadu protection of interest of Depositors Act apart from IPC offences and more than 100 depositors are said to have been chealed.

Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-

16/11/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TANPID ACT CASES, MADURAI.

2 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ECONOMIC OFFENCES WING NO.II, MADURAI DISTRICT,

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

sm:KM:SAR 3:21/11/2016:2P/4C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.18621 of 2016

Date :16/11/2016