



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Third day of September Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice C.T.SELVAM

CRL OP(MD) No.17870 of 2016

1 PIRAMMASAKTHI
2 MURUGAN
3 ASUPATHI

... PETITIONERS / A1 TO A3

Vs

State represented by
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MANDAPAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT,
CR NO. 127/2016.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.P.MUNIYASAMY Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.V.Rajarajan, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


Petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused Nos.1 to 3, pray for the grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC and r/w Section 4 of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.127 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 15.09.2016, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and threatened her with dire consequences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that injured person has already been discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5.On consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made, this Court is of the view that the petitioners can be granted the relief prayed for and accordingly;



(b) In the event of appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram, within 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of the order,

(i) petitioners shall be enlarged on bail on each of them executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for the like sum to their satisfaction;

(ii) petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any Police Officer;

(iii) petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required.

sd/-
23/09/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RAMESWARAM
- 2 -do-thro THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MANDAPAM POLICE STATION,
RAMNAD DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.P.MUNIYASAMY Advocate SR.No.55093

sm:PV:AR I:27/09/2016:2P/6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17870 of 2016
Date :23/09/2016