



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixteenth day of November Two Thousand Sixteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.17232 of 2016

WEB COPY

POOLPANDI

... PETITIONER / 3RD ACCUSED

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
ALGSC,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
CR.NO.25/2013

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.D.VENAKTESH Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 468, 471 and 420 IPC in Crime No.25 of 2013 on the file of the respondent police, the petitioner has come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police.

3. It is seen that on 14.09.2016, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, which was subsequently extended on 07.10.2016.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it was A1 and A4, who have played major roles in cheating the defacto complainant and A4 was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2381 of 2014 on 29.04.2014 and A2 also got the pre-arrest bail before the Sessions Court. He further submitted that on the Power of A1, this petitioner/A3 sold the property to the defacto complainant and therefore, he prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Though this case appears to be an ordinary civil dispute, certainly, there is a likelihood of criminal intent in defrauding the defacto complainant. Therefore, taking into consideration the material on file, in view of the allegations against this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief as prayed for by the petitioner.



6. In the result, there are no merits in this Criminal Original Petition and the same stands dismissed accordingly. The interim order already granted stands vacated and the bail bond, if any, executed by the petitioner, shall also stand cancelled.

sd/-

16/11/2016

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.2)

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
ALGSC,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
(SPECIAL COURT FOR LAND GRABBING ACT CASES)
THOOTHUKUDI
- 3 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
MADURAI

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.17232 of 2016

Date :16/11/2016

anr/ck/sar2/20.12.2016/2p/5c