



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fourth day of October Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.17226 of 2016

1 K.PAULSAMY
2 V.MADASAMY
3 K.MUTHUKUMAR
4 K.THANGAPAZHAM,
5 K.RAJESHWARI
6 C.PALLINGAM,
7 K.KOODALINGAM

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT
(IN CIME NO.19 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONERS : M/S.R.KARUNANIDHI, ADVOCATE
FOR RESPONDENT : Mr.K.V.RAJARAJAN, GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL. SIDE)
FOR INTERVENER : M/S.P.ATHIMOOLAPANDIAN, ADVOCATE

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 465, 468, 471, 420 and 506(i) of I.P.C., in Crime No.19 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police, the petitioner has come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on promising to secure job in Railway Department and Army, the petitioners said to have received a sum of Rs.2,40,000/- from the de-facto complainant and issued fake appointment orders.

4. It is seen that on 14.09.2016, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that



the petitioner is indulged in the offence of job racketing.

6. Taking note of the fact that serious offence is said to have been committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and it is a fit case for custodial interrogation. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

7. There is no reason as to why the police has not initiated action against the persons who are giving bribe as giving bribe as well as receiving bribe is an offence. Police shall take stringent action to complete the investigation.

sd/-
24/10/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, TIRUNELVELI.

2.-do-thro'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+ 1 CC TO Mr.R.KARUNANIDHI, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 63233

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17226 of 2016
Date :24/10/2016

AKV
TE/DB/SAR-III : 25/11/2016 : 2P/6C