



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.09.2016

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.16888 of 2016

- 1.Muthu
- 2.Mookammal
- 3.Sudha
- 4.Pandiyarajan
- 5.Mokkapandi
- 6.Chittukkani
- 7.Antichami
- 8.Eswari
- 9.Vignesh
- 10.Virali
- 11.Eswari
- 12.Mahesh
- 13.Kasi
- 14.Kalai
- 15.Muthulakshmi

.. Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Nilakkottai, Dindigul District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Nilakkottai,
Dindigul District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioners in the name of enquiry.

For Petitioners
For respondents

: Mr.M.Suresh
: Mr.K.Anbarasan
Government Advocate (Criminal side)



ORDER

It is an application seeking a direction to the respondent police not to harass the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents.

3. Mr. M. Suresh, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioners are in-laws of one Anitha, who has married the son of the petitioners 1 and 2. It is further submitted that the said Anitha filed a maintenance case in M.C.No.66 of 2016 against her husband Selvan and the petitioners are being harassed by the respondent police to execute a non-bailable warrant issued against the said Selvan in that case.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that a criminal case was filed against the petitioners, but they were granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.14719 of 2016 and only to execute the non-bailable warrant against the said Selvan, the third respondent has enquired the petitioners and they were not harassed by the respondent police. However, he gave an undertaking that if at all the presence of the petitioners is required, the respondent would call the petitioners for enquiry only after issuing summons giving specific date, time and place.

5. Recording the above undertaking given by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), this petition is closed. However, the third respondent, Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Nilakkottai, Dindigul District, shall not harass the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AE)


/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Nilakkottai, Dindigul District.



3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Nilakkottai,
Dindigul District.

WEB COPY

4.The Addl. Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to M/s.K.Manikandan, Advocate Sr.No.51678

pjl

sm:CK:03.09.2016:3P/6C

CrI.O.P(MD)No.16888 of 2016
12.09.2016