WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 27.01.2016
CORAM:
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.SHIVAKUMAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.S.RAVI

H.C.P(MD)No.1668 of 2015

Ahamed Ibrahim .. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director General of Police,
Police Headquarters,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Mudhukulathur Police Station,
Mudhukulathur, Ramanathapuram District. .. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, directing the respondents to produce the detenu/body before this Court and set the detenu/body Mrs.Laila Nazreen Farzana, D/o.Ahamed Ibrahim, aged 32 years, at liberty forthwith.

For petitioner : Mr.K.Neelamegam
For respondents : Mr.C.Ramesh
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R
****

[Order of the Court was made by P.R.SHIVAKUMAR, J.]

The alleged detenu - Mrs.Laila Nazreen Farzana, on her own accord, has come to this Court, after having received information regarding the filing of the present Habeas Corpus Petition by her father and the date of hearing. The petitioner is none other than the father of Mrs.Laila Nazreen Farzana. Her husband, by name, Seeni Ahamed Sadhik, filed an earlier petition as H.C.P.(MD). No.1653 of 2015 for the production of the very same person and the same was dismissed as withdrawn on 27.11.2015, since he was advised that he could approach the appropriate Court for necessary matrimonial relief. Thereafter, the petitioner, who is none other

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

WEB COPY

than the father of Mrs.Laila Nazreen Farzana, trading wild allegations against her husband and expressing apprehension that she would have been illegally confined by her husband, has come forward with the present Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. Be that as it may, today, Mrs.Laila Nazreen Farzana herself has chosen to appear before this Court. She states that due to difference of opinion, she parted with her husband on 19.11.2015 and was residing in Thandaiyarpettai, Chennai in the house of her relative. It is her further statement that subsequently she came down to Madurai and she is now in the residence of her elder sister - Aaiysa Kani in Vandiyoor, Madurai. It is her further statement that when she contacted with her father, namely, the petitioner herein, over phone, he informed her of the pendency of the present Habeas Corpus Petition and the date of hearing, pursuant to which she has come to this Court. She further states that she is not in the custody of anyone and she, on her own volition, is residing in Vandiyoor, Madurai with her elder sister - Aaiysa Kani.

3. The said statement shows that no case of either abduction or detention has been made out. Mrs.Laila Nazreen Farzana, being a major, has taken her own decision to leave her husband and to be with her elder sister. As such, nothing remains to be adjudicated in this Habeas Corpus Petition, excepting recording the said statement. Accordingly, the statement of Mrs.Laila Nazreen Farzana is recorded and the Habeas Corpus Petition is disposed of holding that she is not in the custody of anyone and she is a free bird.

[

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To
1.The Director General of Police,
Police Headquarters, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.
2.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office, Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram
3.The Inspector of Police,
Mudhukulathur Police Station,
Mudhukulathur, Ramanathapuram District.
4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.
+One cc to Mr.G.Thiruvarutselvan, Advocate, SR.No.4667
NB

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

RI/6C/GSV/AN/3/2/2016 ORDER MADE IN
H.C.P(MD)No.1668 of 2015
27.01.2016