



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Eighth day of January Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice S.VAIDYANATHAN

CRL OP (MD) No.1525 of 2016

1 SELVARANI
2 LALITHA BHARANI
3 KALAIVANAN

..PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 TO 3

Vs.

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DINDIGUL TOWN WEST POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 52 OF 2016)

..RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S S.BALAJI Advocate
For Respondent : MRS.S.PRABHA,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.52 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute, the petitioners abused the de facto complainant and threatened with dire consequences.

3.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that charge sheet has not been filed in this case.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul on condition that the petitioners shall use security a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to



the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent Police as and when required and the 3rd petitioner shall report before the All Women Police Station, Erode daily at 5.30 pm until further orders.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial. [d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send compliance report to the office of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor whether the petitioners are complying with the order or not.

sd/-
28/01/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I
DINDIGUL.

2 -DO- THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
DINDIGUL.

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DINDIGUL TOWN WEST POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

5 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, ERODE.

+1. CC to M/S S.BALAJI Advocate SR.No.4847

akm/28.01.2016/ 2p- 7c/AAL/MPA/SAR-II

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1525 of 2016
Date :28/01/2016