



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Seventeenth day of August Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.14998 of 2016

PAUL RAJ

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1

Vs

STATE REP.BY ITS
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THUCKALAY POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.635 OF 2016

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.T.ANTONY ARUL RAJ Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.1, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 506(i) I.P.C., and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.635 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

3.It is submitted by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) that no one sustained injury in this case and there was only a wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioner. This is a case and case in counter.

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that no one sustained injury, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Padmanabapuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer,



who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs.**

State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

5.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-

17/08/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PADMANABAPURAM.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THUCKALAY POLICE STATION, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECTUOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.T.ANTONY ARUL RAJ Advocate SR.No.44976

PJL

CSL/CK/SAR-I/19.08.2016: 2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.14998 of 2016

Date :17/08/2016