



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Seventeenth day of August Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.14962 of 2016

PERIYALAGI

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.2

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NATHAM POLICE STATION,
NATHAM, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.351 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.V.KARUNA Advocate
For Respondent : M/S.P.KANNITHEVAN,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 341,294(b),324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.351 of 2016, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released



on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Natham, Dindigul District and on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-

17/08/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NATHAM, DINDIGUL DISTRICT
 - 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
DINDIGUL DISTRICT
 - 3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI
 - 4.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NATHAM POLICE STATION,
NATHAM, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- +1. CC to M/S.V.KARUNA Advocate SR.No.45112
RL/6C/2P/KP/SARI/22/8/2016

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.14962 of 2016

Date :17/08/2016