



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Sixteenth day of August Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.14847 of 2016

JEGAN SELVARAJ

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THUCKALAY POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 583 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONER : M/S.S.C.HEROLD SINGH, ADVOCATE

FOR RESPONDENT : Mr.P.KANNITHEVAN, GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL. SIDE)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner is arrayed as sole accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.07.2016, for the alleged offences punishable under Section 324 IPC, and Section 3(1) TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.583 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 01.07.2016, while the defacto complainant/RTO was on duty and checking up the vehicles, to find out the illegal movement of the vehicles, the petitioner, who is the cleaner of a mini bus belonged to a private party, attacked the defacto complainant/RTO and his driver and damaged the jeep of the defacto complainant, opened the diesel tank and tried to set fire and also deflated the tire. Due to that the defacto complainant and his driver sustained injuries. On complaint, a case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is an Auto driver and there is no injury or damage caused by the petitioner and he is no way connected with the alleged occurrence.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the defacto complainant is a Government official, at the time of doing their duty, the petitioner attacked the Government officials and damaged the jeep of the defacto complainant/RTO and prevented



the Government officials from doing their duty. Investigation is pending. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and prayed for dismissal of the petition.

5. Considering the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
16/08/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THUCKALAY POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
2. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.
3. THE OFFICERS INCHARGE,
DISTRICT JAIL, NAGERCOIL.

+1. CC to M/S.S.C.HEROLD SINGH Advocate SR.No. 44555

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14847 of 2016
Date :16/08/2016

PJL
TE/SS-2/SAR-I : 22/08/2016 : 2P/5C