



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twelfth day of August Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.14576 of 2016

1 GAYATHIRI
2 KANAGA @ AMIRTHAVALLI
3 PANCHAVARNAM
4 GOVINDAMMAL
5 RASI @ SABARIRAJA ... PETITIONER(S) / ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY ,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHATHIRAKUDI POLICE STATION,
RAMNATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
CRIME NO. 20 OF 2016 ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S P.MUTHUSAMY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 to 5, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 174 Cr.P.C. @ Section 306 IPC., in Crime No.20 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners quarrelled with the deceased and abused her in filthy language and attacked her and also insisted the deceased to commit suicide. Due to that, the deceased committed suicide, by hanging. On complaint, a case has been registered for the above said offences against the petitioners.

3. The case of the petitioners is that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the husband of the deceased was working in abroad and the deceased



was having illegal intimacy with 5th petitioner. Since, there was a family dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, a false complaint has been given against the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the stolen 5th petitioner was having illegal intimacy with the deceased. When the deceased refused to continue the same, the petitioners insisted her to continue her relationship. Due to which, she committed suicide and the investigation of the case is pending. The earlier petition filed by the petitioners seeking anticipatory bail was dismissed by this Court, by order, dated 10.06.2016, in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.9035 of 2016.

5.Considering the serious allegations made against the petitioners and the fact that the investigation is pending, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and accordingly this petition is dismissed.

sd/-

12/08/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHATHIRAKUDI POLICE STATION,
RAMNATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECTUOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

PJL

CSL/SS-2/SAR-I/23.08.2016: 2P/3C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.14576 of 2016

Date :12/08/2016