



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Tenth day of August Two Thousand Sixteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.14308 of 2016

WEB COPY

1 GANESAN
2 RAMAR
3 INDHURANI
4 VELAYUTHAM

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 TO 4

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY ITS
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEELAVALAVU POLICE STATION,
MELUR TALUK, MADURAI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO. 291/2016

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.C.SASIKUMAR Advocate
For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 427, 435 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.291 of 2016, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners along with other accused attacked the defacto complainant abused her in filthy language. On complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that it is a simple injury and the investigation of the case is pending and this is the case and case in counter case.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that it is a simple injury, I am inclined to



grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur and on condition that the each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners 1, 2 and 4 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders and the 3rd petitioner being a lady shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioners are complying with the condition or not.

sd/-
10/08/2016

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
MELUR, MADURAI

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEELAVALLAVU POLICE STATION,
MELUR TALUK, MADURAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S.C.SASIKUMAR Advocate SR.No.43346

TRP

JAM/11.08.2016/KP/SAR I/3P-6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.14308 of 2016

Date :10/08/2016