



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Fourth day of August Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.13426 of 2016

SELVA KUMAR

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MUTHAIYAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 272 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S A.THIRUVADI KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.2, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 379 IPC, in Crime No.272 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused snatched the defacto complainant's cell phone worth about Rs.12,000/-. On complaint, a case has been registered for the above said offence.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and on the basis of the confession of A.1, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the investigation is pending and the alleged cell phone has already been recovered.



recovered, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thoothukudi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.
- (ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
24/08/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, THOOTHUKUDI.
- 2 DO THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TUTICORIN DISTRICT.
- 3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,MUTHAIYAPURAM POLICE STATION, THOOTHUKUDI, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S A.THIRUVADI KUMAR Advocate SR.No.47159.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13426 of 2016
Date :24/08/2016