



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Friday, the Twenty Ninth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI**

CRL OP(MD) No.13305 of 2016

1 MECHANI PANDI

2 RAMASAMY

3 SELVARAJ @ CHINRAJ

4 A.V. CHINRAJ

5 KANAGARAJ

6 ALAGARSAMY

7 PETCHIAPPAN

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 5 to 11

Vs

THE STATE

BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

SANARPATTI POLICE STATION,

DINDIGUL DISTRICT,

(CRIME NO.93 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S K.MU.MUTHU Advocate


For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused Nos.5 to 11, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 353, 143, 188 and 506(i) IPC, in Crime No.93 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 03.04.2016, at Natham-Dindigul Main Road, the petitioners along with other accused persons were assembled and blocked the road and prevented the police personnel from discharging their duty. On complaint, a case has been registered for the above said offences.



3.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

4.It is submitted by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) that the petitioners along with other accused persons were assembled and blocked the road and prevented the police personnel from discharging their duty.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily twice i.e., at 10.00 a.m. and 05.00 p.m. until further orders.

(ii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioners are complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-  
29/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III, DINDIGUL

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDUGAL DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SANARPATTI POLICE STATION,  
DINDIGUL DISTRICT,

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S K.MU.MUTHU Advocate SR.No.40660

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.13305 of 2016

Date :29/07/2016

SMN

SMA/ARK-PV/SAR-I/04.08.2016:3P/6C