



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Eighth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.13138 of 2016

SARAVANAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SUTHAMALLI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.175 OF 2016

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S V.ANGUSAMY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 379 (Sand theft) and Section 21(i)(iv) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, in Crime No.175 of 2016, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused transported $\frac{1}{4}$ unit of river sand without any valid permit by using Bullock Cart and on complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Thirunelveli District and on condition that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.3,000/-



(Rupees Three Thousand only) to the credit of above said Crime No.175 of 2016 on the file of the above said Magistrate and the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-

28/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, CHERANMAHADEVI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SUTHAMALLI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECTUOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S V.ANGUSAMY Advocate SR.No.40238

TRP

CSL/SKS-RR/SAR-I/01.08.2016 :2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.13138 of 2016

Date :28/07/2016