



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fifth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.12701 of 2016

P. MUTHIAH PANDIAN

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED No.1

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DEVARKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT,
CR NO. 83 OF 2016.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.BALAMURUGAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kannithevan, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 379 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.83 of 2016, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner entered into the working site of the defacto complainant and scolded him in filthy language and snatched Cellphone. On complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that no one has sustained injury and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that no one has sustained injury, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date



of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Thirunelveli and on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-
25/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III, TIRUNELVELI.
- 2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, DEVARKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S S.BALAMURUGAN Advocate SR.No.39236

TRP

JM/NGM-MP/SAR-III/27.07.2016/2P-6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12701 of 2016
Date :25/07/2016