



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fifth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.12627 of 2016

R.ALAMELU

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED

Vs

STATE THROUGH
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MEMISAL POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.131/16

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S R.SEVUGARAJA Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 147,294(b), 506(ii) of IPC r/w. Section 3(i) of Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damages and Loss) Act, 1992 in Crime No.131 of 2016, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute the petitioner along with other accused entered into the house of the defacto complainant and caused damage to the window worth Rs.5,000/-. On complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that co-accused has already deposited the amount and prays for granting anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the damage caused is worth Rs.5,000/- and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned



Judicial Magistrate, Aranthangi and on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-

25/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ARANTHANGI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, MEMISAL POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECTUOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S R.SEVUGARAJA Advocate SR.No.39098

TRP

CSL/KBM/SAR-I/28.07.2016 :2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.12627 of 2016

Date :25/07/2016