



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twentieth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.12139 of 2016

PANDIYAN

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED No.3

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PROHIBITION ENFORCEMENT WING (P.E.W)
THANJAVUR, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
(IN CRIME NO. 426 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S R.KARUNANIDHI Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kannithevan, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner / A3, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 4 (1)(a) and 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act and Section 420 of IPC in Crime No.426 of 2016, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that at the time of patrolling by the respondent police, the petitioners have found in possession of 20 of bottles of liquor without valid permission and on complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioner for the above said offence.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the



event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvaiyaru, Thanjavur District and on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am and 5.00 pm until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-
20/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THIRUVAIYARU, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, PROHIBITION ENFORCEMENT WING (P.E.W)
THANJAVUR, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S R.KARUNANIDHI Advocate SR.No.38127
TRP

JM/NGM-MP/SAR-II/22.07.2016/2P-6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12139 of 2016
Date :20/07/2016