



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Nineteenth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.11932 of 2016

1 AKILANDESWARI

2 PRABHAVATHI

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED No.2 and 3

Vs

The State represented by
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT,
CR NO. 17/2016.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S K.MU.MUTHU Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.Kannithevan Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 406, 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of IPC r/w. Section 4 of DP Act and 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.17 of 2016, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners along with A1 demanded a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- as additional dowry from the defacto complainant and also harassed her both mentally and cruelly. On complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that A1 has already been arrested and enlarged on bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that it is a matrimonial dispute and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the A1 has already been arrested and enlarged on bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a



period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioners shall appear before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

19/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, DINDIGUL
- 2 -do-thro THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT,
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S K.MU.MUTHU Advocate SR.No.37600

sm:KBM:SAR I:22.07.2016:2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11932 of 2016

Date :19/07/2016