



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Thursday, the Fourteenth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.11603 of 2016

JOTHI

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
TIRUMANGALAM TOWN POLICE STATION,  
MADURAI DISTRICT. (CR.NO.368 OF 2016)... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.SIVAPRAKASH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 436 of IPC r/w. 3(1) of TNPPDL Act in Crime No.368 of 2016, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with accused are set fire on the chicken tent of the defacto complainant and escaped from the place. On complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the damage caused is worth Rs.5,000/- and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam on condition that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) to the



credit of Crime No.368 of 2016 and the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

14/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THIRUMANGALAM
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
TIRUMANGALAM TOWN POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.SIVAPRAKASH Advocate SR.No.36553

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11603 of 2016

Date :14/07/2016

AA/GSV-PM/SAR-II/18.07.2016/2p-6c