



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fourteenth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.11602 of 2016

RAJU

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED No.1

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MANDAPAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
CR. NO.90/2016

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S M.SENTHIL AYYANAR Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.P.KANNITHEVAN, Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.1, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 IPC and Section 4 of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.90 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

3.It is submitted by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital.

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten



thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.
- (ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

5.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
14/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RAMESWARAM
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MANDAPAM POLICE STATION, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S M.SENTHIL AYYANAR Advocate SR.No.36522

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11602 of 2016
Date :14/07/2016