



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fourteenth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.11566 of 2016

V.NAGARAJAN

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
BATLAGUNDU POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.229 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.SUNDARA PANDIAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.P.KANNITHEVAN, Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 355, 323, 379 NP and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.229 of 2016, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and also he has stolen three sovereigns of gold chain and criminally intimidated her.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai and on condition



that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

14/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NILAKOTTAI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
BATLAGUNDU POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.SUNDARAPANDIAN Advocate SR.No.36523

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11566 of 2016

Date :14/07/2016

trp

SH/KBM/SAR-I:18.07.2016:2P/6C