



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twelfth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.11397 of 2016

GANESAN,

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED No.1

Vs

The State Rep.by

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,

ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, MELUR, MADURAI DISTRICT.

(CRIME NO.108 OF 2015)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S K.MARIYAPPAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.Kannithevan Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.1, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A) and 406 of IPC, in Crime No.108 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant. At the time of marriage the parents of the defacto complainant gave 19 sovereigns of gold jewels, two wheeler and household articles worth about Rs.1 lakh. After the marriage, the accused persons harassed the de facto complainant by demanding additional dowry. On complaint, case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner and other accused demanded additional dowry and tortured the defacto complainant.

5.Considering the facts of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the



learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.
- (ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
12/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MELUR
- 2 -do-thro THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, MELUR, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S K.MARIYAPPAN Advocate SR.No.35867

sm:SKS-RR:SAR III:15.07.2016:2P/6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11397 of 2016
Date :12/07/2016