



**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)**

Tuesday, the Twelfth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.11374 of 2016

1 KUSALAVAN
2 EASWARAN
3 KARNAN

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO. 1 TO 3

Vs

STATE REP.BY INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DEVAKOTTAI TALUK POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
(CR.NO. 191 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.MUTHUKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.P.KANNITHEVAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 109, 294(b), 323 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002 in Crime No.191 of 2016, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners are alleged to have attacked the defacto-complainant and also threatened him with dire consequences. On complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Devakottai on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the



police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-
12/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DEVAKOTTAI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, DEVAKOTTAI TALUK POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.MUTHUKUMAR Advocate SR.No.35891

TRP

JA-SKS-RR/SAR.III/15.07.2016/2p;6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11374 of 2016
Date :12/07/2016