



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.11149 of 2016

P.KRISHNAN

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELUVALAVU POLICE STATION,
MELUR TALUK, MADURAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.211/2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONER : M/S.K.MALATHI, ADVOCATE

FOR RESPONDENT : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL. SIDE)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as sole accused, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), **(*)323** and 506(i) of IPC r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.211 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

3.It is submitted by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital.

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand



only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.
- (ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

5. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
06/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

***) Amended and corrected order
as per order of this Honorable Court
made in CrI.MP(MD)No.5972/2016
in CrI.O.P. (MD)11149/2016
dated 25.07.2016 by VHVJ**

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

(To be substituted for the order already despatched)

- 1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MELUR.
- 2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELUVALAVU POLICE STATION, MELUR TALUK,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- +1. CC to M/SK.MALATHI Advocate SR.No.39178

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11149 of 2016
Date : 06/07/2016