



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twelfth day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.10956 of 2016

P.SUBRAMANI

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE

REP BY THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEERANUR POLICE STATION, PALANI TALUK,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(IN CRIME NO.192/2016)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.R.SURESHKUMAR Advocate
For Respondent : M/S.P.KANNITHEVAN,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is arrayed as sole Accused, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 353 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.192 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that while the defacto complainant conducted the vehicle check up the petitioner abused the defacto complainant and escaped from the scene of occurrence without giving answer to officials. On complaint, case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.Heard the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side).

5.Considering the facts of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to



arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs.**

State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

6.The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-

12/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PALANI
- 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT
- 3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI
- 4.THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEERANUR POLICE STATION, PALANI TALUK,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S S.R.SURESHKUMAR Advocate SR.No.35721
RL/6C/2P/SKS/RR/SARII/15/7/2016

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10956 of 2016

Date :12/07/2016