



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the First day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP (MD) No.10844 of 2016

1 PRAKASH
2 MANI
3 MANGAIYARKARASI
4 MAYALAGU

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 TO 4

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NORTH POLICE STATION,
KARAIKUDI, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
(IN CRIME NO. 378 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.M. SANJAY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused Nos.1 to 4 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 341, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Women Harassment Act in Crime 378 of 2016, on the file of the respondent Police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a dispute between the petitioners and the de facto complainant with regard to drainage on 20.06.2016. On complaint case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The case of the petitioners is that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that no one sustained injury and investigation is pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on



bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the 1 and 4 petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders and the petitioners 2 and 3 shall report before the respondent Police as and when required.
- (ii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

6. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioners are complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
01/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KARAISKUDI
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NORTH POLICE STATION, KARAISKUDI, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.M. SANJAY Advocate SR.No.34450

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10844 of 2016
Date : 01/07/2016