



**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)**

Wednesday, the Twenty Ninth day of June Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.10538 of 2016

SOUNDER RAJAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
EDAYAKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT, CR NO. 72/2016. ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S BALAKRISHNAN, Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.1 was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.05.2016 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b) and 307 of IPC in Crime No.72 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity the petitioner waylaid the de facto complainant and stabbed him with a knife and also also threatened him with dire consequences. On complaint, a case has been registered for the above said offences.


3. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner are in judicial custody from 26.05.2016 and prays for enlarging the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the injured persons had already been discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ottanchathiram.

- (ii) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 am and 5.30 pm until further orders.



(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

6. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-
29/06/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ODDANCHATHIRAM.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, EDAYAKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE OFFICER INCHARGE, DISTRICT JAIL, DINDIGUL.
- 5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.C.HEROLD SINGH Advocate SR.No.33794

trp
JA-AAL-MPA-SAR.II/29.06.2016/2P:7C

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10538 of 2016
Date :29/06/2016