



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Eleventh day of July Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.10200 of 2016

1 K.S. MARIYASELVAM
2 K.A. SALATH
3 PETER JAMES

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED No.1 to 3

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DEVARAM POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT.
(CR.NO. 222/2016)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S R.SURIYA NARAYANAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kannithevan, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.222 of 2016, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity the petitioners are alleged to have attacked the defacto complainant with chapel and also threatened him with dire consequences. On complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the investigation of the case is pending.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Bodi on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] Since the petitioners 1 and 3 are residing at Chennai, they shall report before the Inspector of Police, Alanthoor Police Station, Chennai daily at 10.00 am until further orders and the second petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

11/07/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, BODI.
- 2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THENI
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, DEVARAM POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT.
- 5 THE OFFICE-IN-CHARGE, INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALANTHOOR POLICE STATION, CHENNAI.

+1. CC to M/S R.SURIYA NARAYANAN Advocate SR.No.35669
TRP

JM/NGM-MP/SAR-III/13.07.2016/2P-7C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10200 of 2016

Date :11/07/2016