



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Fourth day of June Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.10070 of 2016

R. SUNDARAPANDIAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED-6

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
MELUR, MADURAI.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONER : M/S V.JANAKIRAMULU, ADVOCATE

FOR RESPONDENT : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL. SIDE)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 498 (A), 494, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, in Crime No.7 of 2014, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that due to absence of the petitioner for one hearing on 17.06.2015, non bailable warrant was issued against the petitioner and the next hearing is 20.07.2016, and hence, the petitioner may be directed to approach the Court for recalling the warrant.

4. Considering the fact that non bailable warrant was issued against the petitioner for his absence, there shall be a direction, directing the petitioner to surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, and to file a petition for recalling the warrant

