



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Third day of August Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL MP(MD) No.6353 of 2016
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9694 of 2016

1 S. SATHISH
2 A.VIJAYA KUMAR
3 N. MUNISASAMY
4 C. KALEESWARAN
5 C. RAMU
6 M. VINOTH KUMAR
7 G. VELLIKUMAR
8 S. MUTHURAJA
9 A. CHELLADURAI
10 K. ANAND
11 R. BASKAR
12 D. MURUGASELVAM
13 R. RAJENDRAN
14 R. MUTHU
15 G. VIDALAISELVAM
16 B. MALAR MANNAN
17 V. MAYALAGU
18 M. PANDI
19 R. SELVAM
20 D. RAJ KUMAR
21 M. SETHURAMAN
22 A. RAMAKANNU
23 M. RAJENDRAN

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED A1, A3 TO A21,
A23,A28 & A29

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THERIRUVELI POLICE STATION,
MUDUKULATHUR TALUK, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT,
CR NO. 38 OF 2016.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the petition filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to relax the condition imposed by this Honourable Court that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent No.2 police station, daily at 5.00 P.M until further orders in Cr1.O.P.(MD). No.9654 of 2016 dated 23.6.2016 in Cr. No.38 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

Order : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the



petition filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S T.LAJAPATHI ROY, Advocate for the petitioner and of MR.K.ANBARASAN Government Advocate(Crl.Side) on behalf of the Respondents the court made the following order:-

Heard both sides.

WEB COPY

2.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the petitioners 3 and 4/A.4 and A.5 have not complied with the condition after 21.07.2016 and the other petitioners are complying with the condition regularly.

3.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the condition imposed already on the petitioners 1, 2 and 5 to 23 is modified to the effect that the petitioners 1, 2 and 5 to 23 are directed to report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

4.Considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), this petition is dismissed as against the petitioners 3 and 4/A.4 and A.5.

sd/-

03/08/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,MUDUKULATHUR
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RAMANATHAPURAM
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THERIRUVELI POLICE STATION,
MUDUKULATHUR TALUK, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. C.C. to M/S T.LAJAPATHI ROY Advocate SR.No.41815

ORDER

IN

CRL MP(MD) No.6353 of 2016

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9694 of 2016

Date :03/08/2016

AA/NGM-MP/SAR-I/05.08.2016/2p-6c

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>