



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Fifteenth day of March Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.22736 of 2015

1 S. KALAIYARASI  
2 SELVAM  
3 S.SHANTHI  
4 S.SUGANYA

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 TO 4

Vs

1 STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
THIRUVERUMBUR POLICE STATION,  
TRICHY DISTRICT,  
CR NO.508 OF 2015.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

2 V.SELVARANI

... RESPONDENT/ DEFACTO COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S A.ARUN PRASAD Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.508 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police for offences under Sections 420, 477(A), 294(b), 408 and 506(i) IPC, the petitioners are now before this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent.

3.It is seen that pursuant to a direction issued by the learned Judicial Magistrate, NO.VI, Trichy, in Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2499 of 2015 under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., the present case has been registered. It is the case of the de-facto complainant that she is a licensed stamp vendor and the first petitioner is working as a staff and that during reconciliation of accounts, the de-facto complainant found shortage in funds. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that there was no shortage of funds and that all the amount was paid to the de-facto complainant from time to time. Earlier, the de-facto complainant lodged a similar complaint before the respondent police and the same was enquired and closed. The petitioners 2 to 4 are the brother and sister of the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner.



4. Taking into consideration the nature of the allegation against the petitioners, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, anticipatory bail is granted, but with conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.VI, Trichy, on executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that,

(a) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

15/03/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE VI, TRICHY
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TRICHY
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, THIRUVERUMBUR POLICE STATION,  
TRICHY DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S A.ARUN PRASAD Advocate SR.No.14528

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.22736 of 2015

Date : 15/03/2016