



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fourth day of April Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs Justice J.NISHA BANU

CRL MP (MD) No.1290 of 2016
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.23167 of 2016

S.P.ANBAZHAGAN

... PETITIONER/DE-FACTO COMPLAINANT

Vs

1 STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ORATHANADU TALUK POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 657 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

2 M.MUTHU SIVAKUMAR
3 K.PARAMASIVAM
4 M.THIRUNAVUKARASU


... RESPONDENTS/ACCUSED NO 2-4

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the petition filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to call for the records in Crl.O.P(MD)No.23167/2016, dated 15.12.2016, and cancel the Anticipatory Bail, ordered by this Hon'ble Court, on the file of the 1st Respondent and pass such further or any other relief as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

Order : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S R.SANTHANAM, Advocate for the petitioner and of MRS.S.PRABHA, Government Advocate(Crl.side) for R1 the court made the following order:-

The petitioner/defacto complainant has come up with this petition seeking to cancel the anticipatory bail order, dated 15.12.2016, granted to the respondents 2 to 4/A2 to A4 in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.23167 of 2016 by this Court.

2.It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is a case of case in counter and that only due to subsequent events, he has come up with this petition to cancel the anticipatory bail order, dated 15.12.2016. When this Court posed a specific question as to whether any criminal complaint has been lodged as to the subsequent event, he has replied that no such complaint has been lodged. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this



Court is not inclined to cancel the anticipatory bail order dated 15.12.2016. Therefore, this petition is dismissed. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court directs the first respondent Police to complete the investigation at the earliest in this case as well as in the counter case and to file charge sheet within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

sd/-
24/04/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ORATHANADU,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ORATHANADU TALUK POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1cc to M/s.R.SANTHANAM, Advocate, in SR No.20898

ORDER
IN
CRL MP(MD) No.1290 of 2016
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.23167 of 2016
Date :24/04/2017

MKV-KKR-SAR 4/5.5.2017/2P-6C